

From: Vincent Le Corre <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Subject: I wish I could understand
Date: September 30, 2022 at 18:53
To: Edward E. Lehman <[REDACTED]@lehmanlaw.com>

Dear Mr. Lehman,

I am afraid I am never going to finish by tonight and I badly want to.

Last Wednesday afternoon, I cancelled my Chinese class which has now become more of a translating class during which my former Chinese tutor (for a very brief time) is helping me translating documents into Chinese.

Why I cancelled last Wednesday? Because I wanted to quickly finish explaining to you some aspects of this case and it's hard to even think about it considering all of the abnormalities.

I normally have "class" 3 times a week from 19:00 to 21:00 on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, and I don't want to cancel again tonight since I think it's not very polite to cancel on such short notice.

Yes, what [REDACTED] told me that night of August 2019, it bothers me. It started to really bother me in January 2020. Why January 2020? Well, by that time, Steve Easterbrook had been fired. I couldn't really believe the stories I was reading in the press. I am not saying that Mr. Easterbrook wasn't fired for having a non-physical consensual relationship because that's the official reason. I simply think that it might not be the real reason and/or the only reason. On top of this, if I recall correctly, the WSJ or the NYTimes published a story that it supposedly hadn't been the first time that Mr. Easterbrook had had a relationship with someone else, the previous time it was with a woman working for a supplier if I recall correctly.

In January, I forgot which day exactly but it was around the 20-22 +/- a few days but shortly before the Wuhan lockdown, so I guess yes, maybe on the 22nd?, I sent an email to member of French Parliament (*Assemblée Nationale*) to, if I recall correctly, giving him the heads-up that I was going to notify him a formal notice about the serious crimes of doctoring/falsifying public/legal/court documents in the McDonald's case. He would then have to alert the relevant judicial authority and **if and only if** I recall correctly, I mentioned that it would be the European Court of Human Rights. If and only if I recall correctly, I might even have mentioned to Mr. Villani the fact I had tried to alert the Minister of Justice.

Anyway, I sent him an email from my email address n°3 and I CCed the email to myself to my email address n°11 (I assign an arbitrary number).

About half-an-hour later, I would receive a Police summons by email. It was sent to my email addresses n°3 and n°11. The French Police wanted to question me as person of interest but they didn't say in which case. Obviously I speculate, it was the McDonald's case. It would have been statistically almost impossible for the Police to simply send this summons to specifically email addresses n°3 and n°11.

If and only if I recall correctly, I only reopened my mailbox next day so I didn't immediately realized that the Police had sent me an email in such a short time. But there is a problem. Because I had mentioned violations of my human rights in this case (among other things, right to a fair trial within a reasonable time, article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights), and because I had attempted to file a case with the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) before, I knew a little bit about the Court's jurisprudence and I therefore knew that what the French Police had just done, to want to interrogate me as a person of interest while I had half an hour before mentioned, if and only if I recall correctly, that I intended to take actions again before the ECtHR, was illegal:

Yes. If someone is on the verge of attempting to write/contact/notify the ECtHR, it's strictly forbidden for local authorities, like the Police for example, to interfere in any way shape or form because it's considered as a form of witness tampering.

Let's take an extreme case: a man was tortured, he decides to file a case with the ECtHR. Local authorities of a given country learn that the man who was tortured is going to file a case with the ECtHR, therefore, they pick him up and start interrogating him before he can safely contact the ECtHR. Well, in essence if I recall correctly the jurisprudence, member States can't do that. It makes sense. Except that it is exactly what the French Police attempted to do.

I remember that it was at this time that I started to seriously think about what [REDACTED] had told me about extradition during that dinner in August 2019.

You want to know what else Mr. Lehman? After my wife had met them ([REDACTED] and [REDACTED]) the first time, she came back home and she started telling me that she wondered whether they could be U.S. intelligence or not. At the time, I explained to her that she was being paranoid. I told them about my childhood, how my mother's childhood 2

best friends were priests.

I think what really bothered my wife was that she thought it couldn't be a coincidence that [REDACTED] had played for so many years table tennis in certain spots frequented by certain people. For example, and if and only if I recall correctly, back when my wife and I were still living in France, we had already heard that her dad, my father-in-law, knew an American with whom he used to play table tennis sometimes.

I don't exactly know what kind of background my father-in-law has but he is *not* what some people call the red nobility. No, not at all. Even though he used to be a classmate with Xi's elder sister and they went to the same school when they were kids. It's like a special school in Beijing I think.

I currently live inside the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference Shanxi Committee Organ Apartment residential zone where my father-in-law graciously allowed us to live in a second spare apartment he has within this zone.

Later, I realized that the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference Shanxi Committee used to be run by the brother of a man who had defected to the United States it seems like, brother of a man whose son died in a Ferrari car crash in Beijing back in 2012 if I recall correctly, brother of a man who was very close to the former Chinese president Hu Jintao.

My brother has been summoned/questioned by the French Police early November 2019. I don't know the exact since my brother and I had some sort of falling out and we haven't talked to each other for a long time. But it's only on September 1, 2021, that my parents would tell me that my brother had been questioned by the Police about 2 years earlier. I am not sure why my parents withheld this information from me. I really don't know. Like I told you, sometimes, I feel like a blind man walking in the dark.

That's why last year, shortly after I learned this, I attempted to contact the FBI again. I don't trust the French Police. Furthermore, yes, I am starting to wonder if [REDACTED] knew something about me when he talked about extradition and if he knew, how could he have known? **PLEASE NOTE THAT I AM NOT DRAWING ANY CONCLUSIONS! I am just wondering.**

I haven't told you everything yet, nor am I sure whether I should. But you know Mr. Lehman, I love [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and my other Brothers and Sisters here in Taiyuan. That's why I feel extremely conflicted. That's why I mentioned [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] USA to the FBI because I am, or at least used to be, pretty confident that Adam Rogalski (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/adam-rogalski-3705735/>) would only help. He works for the State Department. He works at the U.S. Embassy. They are never going to do anything to endanger American lives. And as for me, I don't know anymore who I should talk to. So, I thought you might know the [REDACTED] but I wasn't completely certain how well you knew them. Obviously [REDACTED] since he worked for [REDACTED] company at some point in time in the past. So yes, I think I can trust you with what I am telling you now.

I told [REDACTED] already about what [REDACTED] my wife, had thought in the past about her paranoid theories. I told him also that her dad used to be a classmate with Xi's sister, which I also told some other people.

But overall, yes, I feel more than a big conflicted. I believe that [REDACTED] knows more than he told me and/or that he might have talked about what I told him during this dinner in 2019 to someone else. I even once asked him if he ever told anyone else what I told him and he didn't deny he might have had.

It's fair to say that this McDonald's case took a psychological toll on me. Too many abnormalities. Statistically almost impossible.

One of the biggest fraud in history, if not the biggest one. So many countries affected, especially in Europe. Yet, no indictment yet? Nowhere?

I should reread what I wrote but I feel to stressed and too anxious. I wish I could understand.